

CS 4410

Automata, Computability, and
Formal Language

Dr. Xuejun Liang

Chapter 6

Simplification of Context-Free Grammars and Normal Forms

1. Methods for Transforming Grammars
 - A Useful Substitution Rule
 - Removing Useless Productions
 - Removing λ -Productions
 - Removing Unit-Productions
2. Two Important Normal Forms
 - Chomsky Normal Form
 - Greibach Normal Form
3. A Membership Algorithm for Context-Free Grammars*

Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of the chapter, the student will be able to:

- Simplify a context-free grammar by removing useless productions
- Simplify a context-free grammar by removing λ -productions
- Simplify a context-free grammar by removing unit-productions
- Determine whether or not a context-free grammar is in Chomsky normal form
- Transform a context-free grammar into an equivalent grammar in Chomsky normal form
- Determine whether or not a context-free grammar is in Greibach normal form
- Transform a context-free grammar into an equivalent grammar in Greibach normal form

A Useful Substitution Rule

Theorem 6.1: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar. Suppose that P contains a production of the form

$$A \rightarrow x_1 B x_2.$$

Assume that A and B are different variables and that

$$B \rightarrow y_1 \mid y_2 \mid \dots \mid y_n$$

is the set of all productions in P which have B as the left side. Let

$\hat{G} = (V, T, S, \hat{P})$ be the grammar in which \hat{P} is constructed by deleting

$$A \rightarrow x_1 B x_2$$

from P , and adding to it

$$A \rightarrow x_1 y_1 x_2 \mid x_1 y_2 x_2 \mid \dots \mid x_1 y_n x_2$$

Then

$$L(\hat{G}) = L(G)$$

A Useful Substitution Rule

Theorem 6.1:

$$\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow x_1 B x_2 \\ B \rightarrow y_1 \mid y_2 \mid \dots \mid y_n \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow x_1 y_1 x_2 \mid x_1 y_2 x_2 \mid \dots \mid x_1 y_n x_2 \\ B \rightarrow y_1 \mid y_2 \mid \dots \mid y_n \end{array}$$

Example 6.1: Consider $G = (\{A, B\}, \{a, b, c\}, A, P)$ with productions

$$A \rightarrow a \mid aaA \mid abBc,$$

$$B \rightarrow abbA \mid b$$

Substitute the variable B.

Removing Useless Productions

Definition 6.1: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar. A variable $A \in V$ is said to be **useful** if and only if there is at least one $w \in L(G)$ such that

$$S \xRightarrow{*} xAy \xRightarrow{*} w, \quad (6.2)$$

with $x, y \in (V \cup T)^*$. In words, a variable is useful if and only if it occurs in at least one derivation. A variable that is not useful is called **useless**. A production is **useless** if it involves any useless variable.

Example 6.2: Eliminate useless variables and productions

$S \rightarrow A,$
 $A \rightarrow aA \mid \lambda,$
 $B \rightarrow bA.$

Example 6.3: Eliminate useless variables and productions

$S \rightarrow aS \mid A \mid C,$
 $A \rightarrow a,$
 $B \rightarrow aa,$
 $C \rightarrow aCb.$

Removing Useless Productions

Theorem 6.2: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar. Then there exists an equivalent grammar $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{T}, S, \hat{P})$ that does not contain any useless variables and productions.

$$S \xRightarrow{*} xAy \xRightarrow{*} w$$

Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar. Compute

$$V_1 = \{A \in V : A \xRightarrow{*} w \in T^*\}.$$

1. Set V_1 to \emptyset .
2. Repeat the following step until no more variables are added to V_1 .
For every $A \in V$ for which P has production of the form
 $A \rightarrow x_1x_2\dots x_n$ with all x_i in $V_1 \cup T$
Add A to V_1 .

Removing Useless Productions

Theorem 6.2: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar. Then there exists an equivalent grammar $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{T}, S, \hat{P})$ that does not contain any useless variables and productions.

$$S \xRightarrow{*} xAy \xRightarrow{*} w$$

Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar. Compute

$$V_2 = \{A \in V : S \xRightarrow{*} xAy \in (V \cup T)^*\}.$$

1. Set $V_2 = \{S\}$.
2. Repeat the following step until no more variables are added to V_2 .
For every $B \in V$ for which P has production of the form
 $A \rightarrow xBy$ with $x, y \in (T \cup V)^*$ and $A \in V_2$
Add B to V_2 .

Removing λ -Productions

Definition 6.2: Any production of a context-free grammar of the form $A \rightarrow \lambda$ is called a **λ -production**. Any variable A for which the derivation $A \xRightarrow{*} \lambda$ is possible is called **nullable**.

Example 6.4: Eliminate λ -productions

The language $L = \{a^n b^n : n \geq 1\}$

$$\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow aS_1b, \\ S_1 \rightarrow aS_1b \mid \lambda \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow aS_1b \mid ab, \\ S_1 \rightarrow aS_1b \mid ab \end{array}$$

Removing λ -Productions

Theorem 6.3: Let G be a context-free grammar with λ not in $L(G)$. Then there exists an equivalent grammar \hat{G} having no λ -productions.

Step 1: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar.

Compute all nullable variables

$$V_N = \{A \in V : A \xRightarrow{*} \lambda\}.$$

- 1) For all production $A \rightarrow \lambda$, put A in V_N .
- 2) Repeat the following step until no further variables are added to V_N .

For all productions

$$B \rightarrow A_1 A_2 \dots A_n,$$

where A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are in V_N , put B into V_N .

Step 2: Remove all λ -productions and add productions by

Replacing all nullable variables with λ in all possible combinations

Removing λ -Productions

Theorem 6.3: Let G be a context-free grammar with λ not in $L(G)$. Then there exists an equivalent grammar \hat{G} having no λ -productions.

Step 1: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be a context-free grammar.

Compute all nullable variables

$$V_N = \{A \in V : A \xRightarrow{*} \lambda\}.$$

Step 2: Remove all λ -productions and add productions by

Replacing all nullable variables with λ in all possible combinations

Examples: Assume B and $C \in V_N$. Then

- 1) For $A \rightarrow ByC$, adding productions $A \rightarrow y \mid yC \mid By$
- 2) For $A \rightarrow BC$, adding productions $A \rightarrow C \mid B$

Removing λ -Productions

Example 6.5: Eliminate λ -productions

$$S \rightarrow ABaC,$$

$$A \rightarrow BC,$$

$$B \rightarrow b \mid \lambda,$$

$$C \rightarrow D \mid \lambda,$$

$$D \rightarrow d.$$



$$S \rightarrow ABaC \mid BaC \mid AaC$$

$$\mid ABa \mid aC \mid Aa \mid Ba \mid a,$$

$$A \rightarrow B \mid C \mid BC,$$

$$B \rightarrow b,$$

$$C \rightarrow D,$$

$$D \rightarrow d.$$

Removing Unit-Productions

Definition 6.3: Any production of a context-free grammar of the form $A \rightarrow B$ where $A, B \in V$ is called a **unit-production**.

Theorem 6.4: Let $G=(V, T, S, P)$ be any context-free grammar without λ -productions. Then there exists a context-free grammar $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{T}, S, \hat{P})$ that does not have any unit-productions and that is equivalent to G .

Steps: 1. Put all non-unit productions of P into \hat{P}
2. For $A \xRightarrow{*} B$, add to \hat{P}

$$A \rightarrow y_1 | y_2 | \dots | y_n,$$

where $B \rightarrow y_1 | y_2 | \dots | y_n$ in \hat{P}

$S \rightarrow a bc bb Aa,$
$A \rightarrow a bb bc,$
$B \rightarrow a bb bc,$

Example 6.6: Eliminate unit-productions

$$S \rightarrow Aa | B,$$

$$B \rightarrow A | bb,$$

$$A \rightarrow a | bc | B$$

Removing λ -Productions

Removing Unit-Productions

Removing Useless Productions

Theorem 6.5: Let L be a context-free language that does not contain λ . Then there exists a context-free grammar that generate L and that does not have any useless productions, λ -productions, or unit-productions.

- Steps:**
1. Remove λ -productions
 2. Remove unit-productions
 3. Remove useless productions

Chomsky Normal Form

Definition 6.4: A context-free grammar is in **Chomsky normal form** if all productions are of form

$$A \rightarrow BC, \text{ or}$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

where A, B, C are in V , and a is in T .

Example 6.7: In Chomsky normal form

$$S \rightarrow AS,$$

$$A \rightarrow SA | b$$

Not in Chomsky normal form

$$S \rightarrow AS | AAS,$$

$$A \rightarrow SA | aa$$

Chomsky Normal Form

Theorem 6.6: Any context-free grammar $G=(V, T, S, P)$ with $\lambda \notin L(G)$ has an equivalent grammar $\hat{G}=(\hat{V}, \hat{T}, S, \hat{P})$ in Chomsky normal form.

Example 6.8:

	$S \rightarrow ABa,$
Convert the grammar to	$A \rightarrow aab$
Chomsky normal form	$B \rightarrow Ac$

Chomsky Normal Form

Theorem 6.6: Any context-free grammar $G=(V, T, S, P)$ with $\lambda \notin L(G)$ has an equivalent grammar $\hat{G}=(\hat{V}, \hat{T}, S, \hat{P})$ in Chomsky normal form.

Example 6.8:

	$S \rightarrow ABa,$
Convert the grammar to	$A \rightarrow aab$
Chomsky normal form	$B \rightarrow Ac$

Greibach Normal Form

Definition 6.5: A context-free grammar is in **Greibach normal form** if all productions are of form

$$A \rightarrow ax$$

where a is in T and x is in V^* .

Example 6.9: In Greibach normal form

$$S \rightarrow aAB \mid bBB \mid bB,$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid bB \mid b,$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

Not in Greibach normal form

$$S \rightarrow AB,$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid bB \mid b,$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

Greibach Normal Form

Theorem 6.7: Any context-free grammar $G=(V, T, S, P)$ with $\lambda \notin L(G)$ has an equivalent grammar $\hat{G}=(\hat{V}, \hat{T}, S, \hat{P})$ in Greibach normal form.

Example 6.10: Convert the grammar $S \rightarrow abSb \mid aa$
into Greibach normal form