

© 2019 Robin Murphy Introduction to AI Robotics 2nd Edition(MIW) Pwess 2019) isans.org

4a

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary



Specific Learning Objectives

- Apply Levis' definition of architectures to organizing software in an intelligent robot and be familiar with how they can guide the design process
- Name and describe the layers (behavioral, deliberative, interface) within a canonical operational architecture of an intelligent robot in terms of the five attributes
- Name the four primitives of robotic intelligence (sense, plan, act, learn)
- List vulnerabilities (e.g., where poor choices or implicit assumptions can lead to failures) in the canonical operational architecture





Recap: Where We've Been...

- AI researchers view robotics as an issue of increasing autonomy (doing the "right thing" in an open world),
- whereas many engineering researchers view robotics as an issue of *extending automation* (focus on creating a closed world and guaranteeing actions).
- This gives rise to very different, though not necessarily mutually exclusive, approaches especially in terms of programming.









The Big Picture

- AI has converged on a canonical operational architecture ۲ after years of exploration
 - This will be the backbone of additional lectures as we delve into the systems architecture and choice for algorithms, coordination functions, etc.
 - Historical development is of interest because it helps identify legacy code and to see barriers that led to the convergence (separate lecture)
- **Operational architectures have advantages**
 - S/W engineering principles of abstraction, modularity
 - Semiformal design specification and completeness
 - Can reveal (some) fundamental vulnerabilities









Organizing Software

- Overall style of design or organization is called an "architecture"
 - provides a principled way of organizing a control system.
 However, in addition to providing structure, it imposes constraints on the way the control problem can be solved [Mataric]
 - describes a set of architectural components and how they interact [Dean & Wellman]







Types of software architectures [Levis, George Mason University]

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

- **operational architecture:** describes *what* the system does, or its functionality, at a high level, but not how it does it
- systems architecture: describes how a system is decomposed into *major subsystems*
- technical architecture: describes how a system works in terms of *implementation details*, language







Focus of this Course

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

- operational architecture: describes what the systems does, not how it does it
- **systems architecture:** describes how a system works in terms of major subsystems
- technical architecture: implementation details, language







Often Changes with New Languages, Applications

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

- operational architecture: describes what the systems does, not how it does it
- **systems architecture:** describes how a system works in terms of major subsystems
- technical architecture: implementation details, language







Why Worry About Software Organization?

- Recall at least 7 distinct areas of Artificial Intelligence, each with own algorithms and data structures; these have to be "knitted" together somehow
- Software engineering is necessary for a successful software enterprise





4a

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

Thinking About Architectures is Good Software Engineering

Abstraction

- Ignores details to permit focus for thinking about general organization of intelligence
- Semiformal description

Modularity

- high cohesion (do one thing well, may be able to substitute different algorithms which produce same results "logical sensors", "logical behaviors", etc)
- low coupling (which means may be able to add "apps")
- Supporting unit testing and debugging

• Anticipation of change, Incrementality

- How to adapt, support evolution

Generality

Not re-invent the wheel each time





Operational Architecture of Biological Intelligence*





*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor



4a Lower Central Nervous System

Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -**Biological** -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

> Spinal Cord and "lower brain" Skills and responses



*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor





*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor





4a



Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -**Biological** -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary "Upper brain" or cortex Reasoning over symbols (information) about goals



*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor



4a Loop 2: Deliberative, Thoughtful, Conscious...





*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor





Direct Perception to Symbols



REAL CONTRACTOR

*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor





Two Loops Plus a Transform

Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -**Biological** -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

I ROBOT

"Upper brain" or cortex Reasoning over symbols (information) about goals

"Middle brain" Converting sensor data into information

Spinal Cord and "lower brain" *Skills and responses*

*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor







2 Layers in Architecture

Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -**Biological** -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

"Upper brain" or cortex Reasoning over symbols (information) about goals

"Middle brain" Converting sensor data into symbols (information)

Spinal Cord and "lower brain" Skills and responses











But There Is "Emotional Intelligence" Too

User Interfaces

- Displays, transparency of what robot is doing/thinking
- Natural Language, gestures
- Working in teams
 - Explicit multi-agent coordination often relies on "social rules" (though implicit swarm intelligence may not)
- "Persona" we present to others
 - Security: what can you at your security grade see about me
 - Human-robot interaction: affective responses, natural language

*An amazingly sweeping generalization for the purpose of metaphor















Canonical Architecture

Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -**Biological** -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary Persona and teaming

Interaction:

Deliberative loop: Reasoning over symbols

> *Key function: Converting sensor data into symbols*

Reactive loop: Skills and responses

Interaction Layer
Deliberative Layer
Reactive (Behavioral) Layer









What Does This Mean for Programming?

From Reactive to Deliberative

- Two types of perception: DIRECT, RECOGNITION (symbols)
 - Impacts computer vision
- Different time horizons
 - From Present to Present, Past, Future
 - Impacts sensing, storage, as well as algorithms reasoning, projecting
- Different time scales of a function
 - Very fast (a reflex), fast (selection of functions), slow (reasoning about a problem)
- Need a central structure (WORLD MODEL) to hold the symbols, history, knowledge but is tractable
- From Reactive/Deliberative to Interaction
 - Additional knowledge "theory of mind" beliefs, desires, intentions (BDI) of the other agent, common ground





The Hard Parts

From Reactive to Deliberative

- Two types of perception: DIRECT, RECOGNITION (symbols)
 - Impacts computer vision
- Different time horizons
 - From Present to Present, Past, Future
 - Impacts sensing, storage, as well as algorithms reasoning, projecting
- Different time scales of a function
 - Very fast (a reflex), fast (selection of functions), slow (reasoning about a problem)
- Need a central structure (WORLD MODEL) to hold the symbols, history, knowledge but is tractable
- From Reactive/Deliberative to Interaction
 - Additional knowledge "theory of mind" beliefs, desires, intentions (BDI) of the other agent, common ground



Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -**Biological** -**Piocess** -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary



4a Primitives for Robot Intelligence







I ROBOTI

"Behavioral Robotics"



- "born" with sets of SENSE-ACT couplings called behaviors that get turned on/off based on stimulus
- No PLAN So, the planning horizon is the present







Control Theory is "Lower Level" But Doesn't Necessary Capture it All

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much?



Reactive (inner loop control, behaviors):

- Tightly coupled with sensing, so very fast
- Many concurrent stimulus-response behaviors, strung together with simple scripting with FSA
- Action is generated by sensed or internal stimulus
- · No awareness, no mission monitoring
- May have local world model, serving as short term memory







Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -Biological -Biological -Biological -Biological -Ramifications -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary

Behavioral Robotics

- Focus in AI from 1986-1996
- Things you can do with behavioral robotics
 - Roomba, Aibo
 - Guarded motions (don't hit anything), panic behaviors (stop!),
 "Macros" such as self-righting in teleoperation
- Advantages
 - Direction perception is usually simple
 - High modularity, add new behaviors without reprogramming old working behaviors, degrades gracefully (depending on the technical architecture)





Behavioral Robotics Issues

Hidden Costs

Behaviors plus some sort of *coordination function* to fuse outputs to effectors

• Where it breaks

- "Fly at window" effects due to local scope (but lots of ways around this)
- Poor choice of coordination functions (go left, go right
 = go in middle and hit obstacle)

Where it doesn't break but scares/annoys people

- Often can't predict whether will go left or right to avoid obstacle
- Not optimal









AL ROBOTIC

"Hybrids"



- PLAN, then instantiate appropriate SENSE-ACT behaviors, until next step in plan, ...
- PLAN requires a World Model (though it is bounded) plus the actual planning algorithms





AL ROBOTI

3 Tier Variant



- PLAN, then **instantiate appropriate** SENSE-ACT behaviors, **until next step** in plan, ...
- Technical PLAN execution but a significant programming aspect





LEARN

- Different types of learning, different things to learn
 - Is very diffuse
 - So, more permeates the architecture

• Al robots consist of four primitives











- Biological organization suggests three layers of intelligence with distinctly different perception, knowledge, planning horizons, and time scales
- The AI Robotics field has converged on PLAN, then SENSE-ACT with LEARN as needed at different points
 - Technically this is SENSE-PLAN, SENSE-ACT but historically the sensing for planning just like the execution monitoring is lumped in "PLAN"
- But still not as tangible as desired...









Consider as Functions

- Large scale factory automation (not factory robots) has 4 functions
 - generating
 - selecting
 - implementing
 - Monitoring
- May involve different contributions/combinations of primitives
 - Ex. monitoring requires sensing, understanding what was planned, and perhaps learning of what is normal and not normal
- Factory automation functions don't capture implementation but does seem to capture deliberation
 - what was implemented was usually a single, monolithic routine or control loop; natural intelligence usually have many low-level "routines" running concurrently
- Problem: still missing data/knowledge structures; not prescriptive: if I want to do X, then pick these components or have this set of layers...



4a

PLAN got Bigger and Matches 3T

Objectives Review Architecture -types **Operational** -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary



- Upper level (PLANNER) is mission generation & monitoring •Need Past, Present, Future
- Lower level (SEQUENCING) is selection of behaviors to accomplish task (*instantiation*) & local monitoring •Need Past, Present







Other Ramifications: Planning Horizon, Time Scales











Canonical Operational Architecture









Programming Languages







Advantages of programming in layers with different styles

- Decomposition of a complex system
 - Can use a separate processor(s) for each layer or behaviors
 - Can split between on-board, off-board
- Matching right tools and mindset for the task
 - Ex. C++ for behaviors, Lisp for planning
- Add to working, verified code







Can intelligence be added in layers? Like upgrading to "pro version" or downloading "apps" as needed?





4a

Can intelligence be added in layers? Like upgrading to "pro version" or downloading "apps" as needed?

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summarv

- Yes, intelligence is organized in layers
- Yes, Can create libraries of equivalent ("logical") algorithms
- But as will be seen in later lectures...
 - Adding new behaviors or algorithms is non-trivial
 - Coordination functions at reactive layer impose certain assumptions, restrictions, and side effects
 - Adding another layer is non-trivial
 - Different attributes such as perception, models require significant design investment
 - "Hidden" coordination between layers









HOW MUCH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE DOES A ROBOT NEED?







How Much Artificial Intelligence Does a Robot Need?

- It depends...
- Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary
- What functions does the robot need to do?
 - Generate? Monitor? Select? Implement? Execute behaviors? Learn?
- What planning horizon does the functions require?
 - Present, Present+Past, Present+Past+Future
- How fast do the algorithms have to update?
 - May have to use a closed world and guaranteed execution rates (control theory)
- What type of model does the robot need? Local? Global? Both?
 - Note: go with the minimum









SUMMARY AND ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS









- An architecture is the Big Picture of how to program an intelligent robot
- "Architecture" refers to either
 - The operational architecture which described what the system does on an abstract level
 - The system architecture developed by a manufacturer (or research group) and may look like a data flow diagram
 - The *technical architecture*, specifies the actual techniques and code organization
- The canonical operational architecture for an AI robot consists of three layers which generally represent different programming styles or even implementation languages:
 - Behavioral
 - Deliberative
 - Interaction





4a

Objectives Review Architecture -types Operational -Biological -Process -Functions -Ramifications Layered Int. How much? Summary



- Current practice is good with deliberative functions operating on symbols, good with behaviors using direct perception
- Major barrier is going from sensory data to symbols: recognition and labeling as unique instances
 - May be able to see a coffee cup but not that it is my coffee cup and yours from the same dish collection is over there
- Major barrier in understanding human intention (which is often implied but never spoken); AI robots currently require explicit directions



