

Coding and Information Theory

Chapter 2

Optimal Codes

Xuejun Liang

2019 Fall

Chapter 2: Optimal Codes

- Need to balance between using words which are
 - long enough to allow effective decoding, and
 - short enough for economy
- Optimal codes
 - the instantaneous codes with least average word length

Content of Chapter 2

2.1 Optimality

2.2 Binary Huffman Codes

2.3 Average Word-length of Huffman Codes

2.4 Optimality of Binary Huffman Codes

2.5 r -ary Huffman Codes

2.6 Extensions of Sources

2.1 Optimality

- Let S be a source and assume that the probabilities

where

$$p_i = \Pr(X_n = s_i) = \Pr(s_i)$$
$$0 \leq p_i \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^q p_i = 1.$$

- Assume code C for S has word-lengths l_1, l_2, \dots, l_q . Then the Average Word-Length is defined as

$$L = L(C) = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i l_i.$$

- Given r and the probability distribution (p_i) , we try to find instantaneous r -ary codes C minimizing $L(C)$.
 - Such codes are called optimal or compact codes

Optimality (Cont.)

- Example 2.1
 - Let S be the daily weather (as in Example 1.2)
 - with $p_i = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.
 - Consider two instantaneous codes
 - binary code $\mathcal{C} : s_1 \mapsto 00, s_2 \mapsto 01, s_3 \mapsto 1$
 - $L(\mathcal{C}) = ?$

 - binary code $\mathcal{D} : s_1 \mapsto 00, s_2 \mapsto 1, s_3 \mapsto 01$
 - $L(\mathcal{D}) = ?$

Optimality (Cont.)

- Lemma 2.2
 - Given a source S and an integer r , the set of all average word-lengths $L(C)$ of uniquely decodable r -ary codes C for S is equal to the set of all average word-lengths $L(C)$ of instantaneous r -ary codes C for S .
- Definition
 - An instantaneous r -ary code C is defined to be optimal if $L(C) = L_{min}(S)$, which is the greatest lower bound of average word-lengths.
- Theorem 2.3
 - Each source S has an optimal r -ary code for each integer $r \geq 2$.

2.2 Binary Huffman Codes

- Let $T = Z_2 = \{0,1\}$, Given a source S , we renumber the source-symbols s_1, \dots, s_q , so that

$$p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_q .$$

- Form a reduced source S' by combining the two least-likely symbols.
- Given any binary code C' for S' , we can form a binary code C for S :

$$\begin{array}{l}
 S : \quad s_1, \dots, s_{q-2}, \underbrace{s_{q-1}, s_q} \\
 S' : \quad s_1, \dots, s_{q-2}, \quad s' \\
 \\
 p_1, \dots, p_{q-2}, \underbrace{p_{q-1}, p_q} \\
 p_1, \dots, p_{q-2}, \quad p' \\
 \\
 C : \quad w_1, \dots, w_{q-2}, \underbrace{w'0, w'1} \\
 C' : \quad w_1, \dots, w_{q-2}, \quad w'
 \end{array}$$

Binary Huffman Codes (Cont.)

- Lemma 2.4
 - If the code C' instantaneous then so is C .
- Huffman code for S
 - Constructed by
$$\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow S' \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S^{(q-2)} \rightarrow S^{(q-1)} \\ C \leftarrow C' \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow C^{(q-2)} \leftarrow C^{(q-1)}. \end{array}$$
 - Note: $C^{(q-1)} = \{\varepsilon\}$ and $C^{(q-2)} = \{\varepsilon 0, \varepsilon 1\} = \{0, 1\}$
 - It is instantaneous
- Example 2.5
 - Let S have $q = 5$ symbols s_1, \dots, s_5 with probabilities $p_i = 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1$. Compute Huffman code and $L(C)$

How the probability distribution affects the average word-length of Huffman codes

- Example 2.6
 - Let S have $q = 5$ symbols s_1, \dots, s_5 again, but now suppose that they are equiprobable, that is, $p_1 = \dots = p_5 = 0.2$. Compute Huffman code and $L(C)$.
- In general, the greater the variation among the probabilities p_i , the lower the average word-length of an optimal code.
- Note: **entropy** can be used to measure the amount of variation in a probability distribution.
 - Will study later in next chapter.

2.3 Average Word-length of Huffman Codes

$$\begin{aligned}L(C) - L(C') &= p_{q-1}(l+1) + p_q(l+1) - (p_{q-1} + p_q)l \\ &= p_{q-1} + p_q \\ &= p',\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

- Note p' is the "new" probability created by reducing S to S' .
- If we iterate this, using the fact that $L(C^{(q-1)}) = |\varepsilon| = 0$, we find that

$$L(C) = p' + p'' + \dots + p^{(q-1)}\tag{2.4}$$

- the sum of all the new probabilities $p', p'', \dots, p^{(q-1)}$ created in reducing S to $S^{(q-1)}$.
 - Try Example 2.5 and Example 2.6

2.4 Optimality of Binary Huffman Codes

- Definition
 - Two binary words w_1 and w_2 to be siblings if they have the form $x0, x1$ (or vice versa) for some word $x \in T^*$.
- Lemma 2.7
 - Every source S has an optimal binary code D in which two of the longest code-words are siblings.
- Theorem 2.8
 - If C is a binary Huffman code for a source S , then C is an optimal code for S .

2.5 *r*-ary Huffman Codes

- If we use an alphabet T with $|T| = r > 2$, then the construction of r -ary Huffman codes is similar to that in the binary case.

- Merge r source symbols together at a time
- Note: may need to add some dummy symbols such that

$$q \equiv 1 \pmod{r - 1}$$

- Example 2.9

- Let $q = 6$ and $r = 3$. Since $r - 1 = 2$ we need $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, so we adjoin an extra symbol s_7 to S , with $p_7 = 0$
- The reduction process now gives

r-ary Huffman Codes (Cont.)

- Example 2.10
 - Let $q = 6$ and $r = 3$ and suppose that the symbols s_1, \dots, s_6 , of S have probabilities $p_i = 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1$.
 - After adjoining s_7 with $p_7 = 0$, we find that the reduction process is as follows:

2.6 Extensions of Sources

- Let S be a source with
 - q symbols s_1, \dots, s_q of
 - probabilities p_1, \dots, p_q
- The n -th extension S^n of S is the source with
 - q^n symbols $s_{i_1} \dots, s_{i_n}$ ($s_{i_j} \in S$)
 - probabilities $p_{i_1} \dots, p_{i_n}$
- Note: The probabilities $p_{i_1} \dots, p_{i_n}$ form a probability distribution by
 - Expanding the left-hand side of the equation

$$(p_1 + \dots + p_q)^n = 1^n = 1$$

Extensions of Sources: Examples

- Example 2.11
 - Let S have source $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ with $p_1 = 2/3, p_2 = 1/3$.
 - Then S^2 has source alphabet $= \{s_1s_1, s_1s_2, s_2s_1, s_2s_2\}$ with probabilities $4/9, 2/9, 2/9, 1/9$.
- Example 2.12: S is as in Example 2.11
 - A binary Huffman code $C: s_1 \mapsto 0, s_2 \mapsto 1$
 - Average word-length $L(C) = 1$
 - Construct a Huffman code C^2 for S^2
 - Average word-length $L(C^2) = ?$
 - You will see $L(C^2)/2 < L(C) = 1$

Extensions of Sources: decoding

- Decode a pair (two consecutive symbols), rather than one symbol, at a time.
 - Not quite instantaneous
 - A bounded delay while waiting for pairs to be completed
- Can construct a Huffman code C^3 for S^3
 - Can show $L(C^3)/3 < L(C^2)/2$
- Continuing this principle, construct a Huffman code C^n for S^n
 - the average word-length $L(C^n)/n \rightarrow ?$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$