CS 4410

Automata, Computability, and Formal Language

Dr. Xuejun Liang

1

Chapter 7

Pushdown Automata

- 1. Nondeterministic Pushdown Automata
 - Definition of a Pushdown Automata
 - The Language Accepted by a Pushdown Automaton
- 2. Pushdown Automata and Context-Free Languages
 - Pushdown Automata for Context-Free Languages
 - Context-Free Grammar for Pushdown Automata
- 3. Deterministic Pushdown Automata and Deterministic Context-Free Languages
- 4. Grammars for Deterministic Context-Free Languages*

Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of the chapter, the student will be able to:

- Describe the components of a nondeterministic pushdown automaton
- State whether an input string is accepted by a nondeterministic pushdown automaton
- Construct a pushdown automaton to accept a specific language
- Given a context-free grammar in Greibach normal form, construct the corresponding pushdown automaton
- Describe the differences between deterministic and nondeterministic pushdown automata
- Describe the differences between deterministic and general context-free languages

Nondeterministic Pushdown Automata

- A pushdown automaton is a model of computation designed to process context-free languages
- Pushdown automata use a stack as storage mechanism



Nondeterministic Pushdown Automata

Definition 7.1: A nondeterministic pushdown accepter (npda) is defined by the sep-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$ where

Q is a finite set of internal states of the control unit,

 Σ is the input alphabet,

 Γ is a finite set of symbols called the **stack alphabet**,

δ: Q×(Σ∪{λ})×Γ → finite subsets of Q×Γ* is the transition function,

 $q_0 \in Q$ is the initial state of the control unit

 $z \in \Gamma$ is the stack start symbol

 $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states

Input to the transition function δ consists of a triplet:

A state, input symbol (or λ), and the symbol at the top of stack **Output** of δ consists of a set of pairs:

A new state, and new top of stack

Note: Transitions can be used to model common stack operations

Sample NPDA Transition

• Example 7.1 presents the sample transition rule:

 $\delta(q_1, a, b) = \{(q_2, cd), (q_3, \lambda)\}$

- According to this rule, when the control unit is in state q₁, the input symbol is a, and the top of the stack is b, two moves are possible:
 - New state is q_2 and the symbols cd replace b on the stack
 - New state is q_3 and b is simply removed from the stack

An Example NPDA

```
Example 7.2: Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \Sigma = \{a, b\}, \Gamma = \{0, 1\}, z=0, F = \{q_3\}

\delta(q_0, a, 0) = \{(q_1, 10), (q_3, \lambda)\},

\delta(q_0, \lambda, 0) = \{(q_3, \lambda)\},

\delta(q_1, a, 1) = \{(q_1, 11)\},

\delta(q_1, b, 1) = \{(q_2, \lambda)\},

\delta(q_2, b, 1) = \{(q_2, \lambda)\},

\delta(q_2, \lambda, 0) = \{(q_3, \lambda)\}
```

Transition Graphs

- In the transition graph for a npda, each edge is labeled with the input symbol, the stack top, and the string that replaces the top of the stack
- The graph below represents the npda in Example 7.2:

```
\begin{split} &\delta(q_0, a, 0) = \{(q_1, 10), (q_3, \lambda)\}, \\ &\delta(q_0, \lambda, 0) = \{(q_3, \lambda)\}, \\ &\delta(q_1, a, 1) = \{(q_1, 11)\}, \\ &\delta(q_1, b, 1) = \{(q_2, \lambda)\}, \\ &\delta(q_2, b, 1) = \{(q_2, \lambda)\}, \\ &\delta(q_2, \lambda, 0) = \{(q_3, \lambda)\} \end{split}
```



Language accepted by a Pushdown Automata

An *instantaneous description* is a triplet (q, w, u), where

q: current state, **w**: unread part of input string, and **u**: stack content (with the top as the leftmost symbol)

A *move* from (q_1, aw, bx) to (q_2, w, yx) denoted by

 $(q_1, aw, bx) \models (q_2, w, yx)$ is possible, if and only if $(q_2, y) \in \delta(q_1, a, b)$.

Definition 7.2: Let $M=(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$ be a nondeterministic pushdown automaton. The language accepted by M is the set

$$L(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* : (q_0, w, z) \vdash^*_{\mathbf{M}} (p, \lambda, u), p \in F, u \in \Gamma^* \}$$

In words, the language accepted by M is the set of all strings that can put M into a final state at the end of the string. The final stack content u is irrelevant to this definition of acceptance.

Language accepted by a Pushdown Automata

Example 7.4: Construct an npda for the language $L=\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* : n_a(w) = n_b(w) \}$

Show the ndpa moves in processing the string baab

Idea

z is on stack if #a's = #b's #0's = #a's - #b's if more a's #1's = #b's - #a's if more b's

Example 7.5: Construct an npda for the languageSho $L=\{ww^R:w\in\{a,b\}^+\}$ Sho

Show the ndpa moves in processing the string abba

Pushdown Automata for Context-Free Languages

Theorem 7.1: For every context-free language L, there exists an npda M such that L=L(M). (Assume the L is generated by context-free grammar G.)

Two Steps to build such an npda

- (1) Transform productions of G into Greibach normal form
- (2) Build an npda from the productions in Greibach normal form

Details of step 2:

Q = { q_0 , q_1 , q_f }, Σ = all terminal symbols, and Γ = all variables, The moves (transitions) contain the following:

1. $(q_0, \lambda, z) |- (q_1, \lambda, Sz)$

2. For every production of the form A \rightarrow aX, a move

(q₁, a, A) |– (q₁, λ, X)

3. $(q_1, \lambda, z) \mid -(q_f, \lambda, z)$

Pushdown Automata for Context-Free Languages

Example 7.6: Construct an npda that accepts the language generated by grammar with productions

 $S \rightarrow aSbb|a$

production	move
	$(q_0, \lambda, z) \mid -(q_1, \lambda, Sz)$
$S \rightarrow aSA$	$(q_1, a, S) \mid -(q_1, \lambda, SA)$
$S \rightarrow a$	$(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{S}) \models (\mathbf{q}_1, \lambda, \lambda)$
A → bB	$(q_1, b, A) \mid -(q_1, \lambda, B)$
$B \rightarrow b$	$(q_1, b, B) \models (q_1, \lambda, \lambda)$
	$(q_1, \lambda, z) \models (q_f, \lambda, z)$

Example 7.7: Construct an npda that accepts the language generated by grammar with productions

Show the ndpa moves in processing the string aaabc and corresponding leftmost derivation of the grammar $S \rightarrow aA$, $A \rightarrow aABC|bB|a$, $B \rightarrow b$, $C \rightarrow c$.

Context-Free Grammars for Pushdown Automata

Two properties of an npda

- 1. Single final state q_f , which is entered if and only if the stack is empty
- 2. All transitions must have the form

 $\delta(q_i, a, A) = \{c_1, c_2, .., c_n\},\$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} c_{j}=(q_{j},\,\lambda) & \quad ((q_{i},\,a,\,A)\mid -(q_{j},\,\lambda,\,\lambda)) & \text{ or } \\ c_{j}=(q_{j},\,BC) & \quad ((q_{i},\,a,\,A)\mid -(q_{j},\,\lambda,\,BC)) \end{array}$$

Build the grammar from an npda with the two properties

- 1. Variable: (q_iAq_i) and Staring variable: (q_0zq_f)
- 2. Production: $(q_i A q_j) \rightarrow a$ if $(q_i, a, A) \models (q_j, \lambda, \lambda)$ $\forall q_l, q_k \in Q, (q_i A q_k) \rightarrow a (q_j B q_l) (q_l C q_k)$ if $(q_i, a, A) \models (q_j, \lambda, BC)$

Theorem 7.2: If L=L(M) for some npda M, then L is a context-free language.

Deterministic Pushdown Automata and Deterministic Context-Free Languages

Definition 7.3: A deterministic pushdown accepter (dpda) is a pushdown automata as defined in Definition 7.1, subject to the restrictions that, for every $q \in Q$, $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$ and $b \in \Gamma$,

- 1. $\delta(q, a, b)$ contains at most one element,
- 2. If $\delta(q, \lambda, b)$ is not empty, then $\delta(q, c, b)$ must be empty for every $c \in \Sigma$.

Definition 7.4: A language L is said to be a deterministic context-free language if and only if there exists a dpda such that L = L(M).

In contrast to finite automata, deterministic and non-deterministic pushdown automata are not equivalent.

Deterministic Pushdown Automata and Deterministic Context-Free Languages

Examples 7.10: (1) L= $\{a^nb^n : n \ge 0\}$ is deterministic context-free language. (2) L= $\{ww^R : w \in \{a, b\}^+\}$ is not deterministic.

The dpda has States: Q = {q₀, q₁, q₂} and q₀ as its initial and final state Input alphabet: { a, b } Stack alphabet { 0, 1 } and z = 0,

The transition rules are

 $\delta(q_0, a, 0) = \{ (q_1, 10) \}$ $\delta(q_1, a, 1) = \{ (q_1, 11) \}$ $\delta(q_1, b, 1) = \{ (q_2, \lambda) \}$ $\delta(q_2, b, 1) = \{ (q_2, \lambda) \}$ $\delta(q_2, \lambda, 0) = \{ (q_0, \lambda) \}$