Search

Dr. Melanie Martin
CS 4480
September 17, 2012

Search strategies

- A search strategy is defined by picking the order of node expansion
- Strategies are evaluated along the following dimensions:
  - completeness: does it always find a solution if one exists?
  - time complexity: number of nodes generated
  - space complexity: maximum number of nodes in memory
  - optimality: does it always find a least-cost solution?
- Time and space complexity are measured in terms of
  - *b:* maximum branching factor of the search tree
  - *d:* depth of the least-cost solution
  - *m*: maximum depth of the state space (may be ∞)

Uninformed search strategies

- Uninformed search strategies use only the information available in the problem definition

- Breadth-first search
- Uniform-cost search

- Depth-first search
- Depth-limited search
- Iterative deepening search

Properties of breadth-first search

- Complete? Yes (if *b* is finite)
- Time? $1+b+b^2+b^3+\ldots +b^d + b(b^d-1) = O(b^{d+1})$
- Space? $O(b^{d+1})$ (keeps every node in memory)
- Optimal? Yes (if cost = 1 per step)
- Space is the bigger problem (more than time)

Uniform-cost search

- Expand least-cost unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *frontier* = priority queue ordered by path cost g(n)
- Equivalent to breadth-first if step costs all equal
- Complete? Yes, if step cost ≥ ε
- Time? # of nodes with $g \leq$ cost of optimal solution, $O(b^{ceiling(C^*/\varepsilon)})$ where C^* is the cost of the optimal solution
- Space? # of nodes with $g \leq$ cost of optimal solution, $O(b^{ceiling(C^*/\varepsilon)})$
- Optimal? Yes – nodes expanded in increasing order of *g(n)*

Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Depth-first search

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
  - *fringe* = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Properties of depth-first search

- Complete? No: fails in infinite-depth spaces, spaces with loops
  - Modify to avoid repeated states along path

    → complete in finite spaces
- Time? $O(b^m)$: terrible if m is much larger than d
  - but if solutions are dense, may be much faster than breadth-first
- Space? $O(bm)$, i.e., linear space!
- Optimal? No

Depth-limited search

= depth-first search with depth limit *l*,
i.e., nodes at depth *l* have no successors

```
function DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH( problem, limit) returns soln/fail/cutoff
  RECURSIVE-DLS(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), problem, limit)
function RECURSIVE-DLS(node, problem, limit) returns soln/fail/cutoff
  cutoff-occurred? ← false
  if GOAL-TEST[problem](STATE[node]) then return SOLUTION(node)
  else if DEPTH[node] = limit then return cutoff
  else for each successor in EXPAND(node, problem) do
     result ← RECURSIVE-DLS(successor, problem, limit)
     if result = cutoff then cutoff-occurred? ← true
     else if result ≠ failure then return result
  if cutoff-occurred? then return cutoff else return failure
```

Iterative deepening search

```
function ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH( problem) returns a solution, or fail-
ure
   inputs: problem, a problem
   for depth ← 0 to ∞ do
      result ← DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH( problem, depth)
      if result ≠ cutoff then return result
```

Iterative deepening search *l* =0

Iterative deepening search *l* =1

Iterative deepening search *l* =2



Iterative deepening search *l* =3



Iterative deepening search

- Number of nodes generated in a depth-limited search to depth *d* with branching factor *b*:
  $N_{DLS} = b^0 + b^1 + b^2 + \ldots + b^{d-2} + b^{d-1} + b^d$
- Number of nodes generated in an iterative deepening search to depth *d* with branching factor *b*:
  $N_{IDS} = (d+1)b^0 + d\ b^{\wedge 1} + (d-1)b^{\wedge 2} + \ldots + 3b^{d-2} + 2b^{d-1} + 1b^d$
- For *b = 10, d = 5,*
  - N_{DLS} = 1 + 10 + 100 + 1,000 + 10,000 + 100,000 = 111,111
  - N_{IDS} = 6 + 50 + 400 + 3,000 + 20,000 + 100,000 = 123,456
- Overhead = (123,456 - 111,111)/111,111 = 11%

Properties of iterative deepening search

- Complete? Yes
- Time? $(d+1)b^0 + d\ b^1 + (d-1)b^2 + \ldots + b^d = O(b^d)$
- Space? $O(bd)$
- Optimal? Yes, if step cost = 1

Summary of algorithms

Criterion	Breadth-First	Uniform-Cost	Depth-First	Depth-Limited	Iterative Deepening
Complete?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Time	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^l)$	$O(b^d)$
Space	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$	$O(bm)$	$O(bl)$	$O(bd)$
Optimal?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes

Repeated states

- Failure to detect repeated states can turn a linear problem into an exponential one!



Graph search

```
function GRAPH-SEARCH( problem, fringe) returns a solution, or failure
   closed ← an empty set
   fringe ← INSERT(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), fringe)
   loop do
      if fringe is empty then return failure
      node ← REMOVE-FRONT(fringe)
      if GOAL-TEST[problem](STATE[node]) then return SOLUTION(node)
      if STATE[node] is not in closed then
         add STATE[node] to closed
         fringe ← INSERTALL(EXPAND(node, problem), fringe)
```

Summary

- Problem formulation usually requires abstracting away real-world details to define a state space that can feasibly be explored
- Variety of uninformed search strategies
- Iterative deepening search uses only linear space and not much more time than other uninformed algorithms