## CS 4100, Spring 2012– Programming Languages Peer Review Response April 25 and 27, 2012

Author's Name		Reviewer's Name		
Note: This review of the author's preliminary draft does not address issues of language utilization, punctuation, spelling, appropriate acknowledgement of sources, etc. which will contribute to the quality of the final paper. It is the author's responsibility to see that those issues are covered in the final draft.				
1)	Read the paper through once, as example? Or did it "feel" disjo		raph immediate reaction. Did it nderstand? Remember to be ho	
2)	1 1		ing? Quote the thesis statement and agree upon a suitable one b	
3)	1 1 0		nd in the order that they are pres dea? Do any of the sections of	
4)	Which of these main ideas did y	you find to be the most	well written or interesting? Wh	ıy?
5)	What parts are confusing to nee instance, are there terms of und			hat might help? For

- 6) As a classmate of the author's, you represent perfectly the audience to whom the author is writing. In particular, the author should provide all of the background information and definitions you need so you can understand even the most technical details of the paper. Considering the issues on the front of this sheet, along with any other reactions you have, write a closing paragraph addressing any of the following issues that are relevant to this paper:
  - a) Is any important information missing?
  - b) As a knowledgeable computer scientist, do you find that the paper addresses the most interesting issues within its stated topic?
  - c) Does it waste time on relatively trivial or unimportant sub issues? Does it effectively isolate the few most important ideas, or does it seem to indiscriminately catalog loosely relate points?
  - d) Does the author present all sides of the complex issues fairly? Does (s)he effectively integrate material from a variety of sources? Cite examples where (s)he does and does not.
  - e) What other issues should the author pay attention to with this paper?