

## Chapter 5:

Return to Simplicity: Pascal

- 1964 IBM: PL/I (Programming Language one) evolves to be a huge language
- Union of Fortran, Algol and COBOL (rather than their intersection)
- Swiss Army Knife Approach
- Language is hard to use
- Proponents say, enough to learn subset of PL/I
- In reality, due to feature interaction, this is not possible
- Hard (or even futile) to design to design a language that is everything to all programmers


## Extensible Languages

- Another approach is to design a small 'kernel' language and make it extensible
- Kernel provides basic functionality
-Extensibility should please everyone


## Extensions: Operators

- Operator extension (vs overload)
- Ability to create new operators
- Example: symmetric difference of real numbers
operator $2 \mathrm{x} \# \mathrm{y}$;
value $x, y$; real $x, y$;
begin
return abs ( $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ ) end
- Allows:
if 1 \# $\mathrm{r}>0$ then.
- C++ has operator overload, variation of this


## Extensions: Syntax

- Syntax macros allowed general syntax extension
real syntax sum from $i=l b$ to $u b$ of elem;
value lb, ub;
integer lb, $u b, i$; real elem;
begin real $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{s}:=0$;
for $i$ := lb step 1 until ub do $s:=s+e l e m ;$
return s ;
end;
- Allows:
total := sum from $k=1$ to $N$ of Wages [k];


## Issues with Extensibility

- Inefficiency
- New syntax is translated to kernel constructs
- Inefficiencies are magnified
- Poor diagnostics
- Compiler errors are issued at kernel-level, which may be confusing to programmer
- Language is hard to read, since people make up their own syntax
- Upside
- Research on minimal requirement for PL's


## Move Toward Simplicity

- Niklaus Wirth suggests changes to Algol-60
- Non-numeric data types
- Removing baroque features
- Maintain efficiency (compile and run-time)
- Can be taught systematically
- Implements Algol-W (after changes are rejected by Algol committee)
- Evolves into Pascal, competed in 1970


## Pascal - 3rd Generation

- Developed 1968-1970
- 29 page report
- Revised 1972
- International Standard 1982
- Popular teaching language


## Pascal's Syntax

- Pascal's syntax is like Algol's (p. 171)
- Major changes
- program ... end.
- procedure <declarations> begin <statements> end;
- var, const, type
- for-loop: simplified
- case-statement


## Data Structures

- Primitives are like Algol's
- real, integer, Boolean, char
- Char holds one character
- Strings are arrays of chars


## var, const, type

- const
- Constant parameter declaration
const Max = 900;
- type
- Type declarations introduced by "type"
type index = 1 .. Max;
- var
- Variables declared after "var" var
i: index;
sum, ave, val: real;

| Data Structures |
| :---: |
| - Primitives are like Algol's |
| - real, integer, Boolean, char |
| - Char holds one character |
| • Strings are arrays of chars |

## Enumeration Types: Issues

- Problem:
- How to manipulate non-numeric data?
- Mon, Tue, Wed,... Male/Female,
- Using number is very confusing (error prone)
- today := 5;
// Friday
- tomorrow := today +1 ; // next day
- Issues: Sunday: 0 or 1 ? Start week with Monday?
- Assign numbers to meaningful variables - Mon = 1 , Tue $=2, \ldots$ male $=0$, female $=1, \ldots$
- Security Issue: compiler allows meaningless operations
- Year : = (month + male)/DayOfWeek


## Enumeration Types

- Pascal introduces enumeration types
type
month $=$ (Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, ...);
sex $=$ (male, female);
var
thisMonth : month;
gender : sex;
begin
thisMonth := Feb;
gender := female;
- Supported operations for all enumerated types :=, succ, pred, =, <>, <, =, >, <=, >=


## Subrange Types

- Improve security by allowing variable to take on values meaningful for their use only
var DayOfMonth: 1 .. 31;
type Weekday = Mon .. Fri;
- Checking of valid values are checked as part of type checking
- Many programming errors come down to subrange violations (array out of bounds)
- Efficient: Allows compact storage of variable
- Subranges of discrete types are allowed
- integer, enumerated, char


## Enumeration Types

## - Advantages

- High level
- Lets programmers write what they mean
- Secure
- Type checking is performed
- No meaningless operations
- Efficient
- Allows optimization of storage
- E.g.: Days of week can be stored in 3 bits


## Set Types

- Pascal provides facilities for sets
set of <ordinal type>
- Ordinal type: enumeration, char, Boolean, subrange
- Not integer or real
var $S, T$ : set of $1 . .10$;
$-S, T$ can hold a set of numbers between 1 and 10
- vs a single number between 1 and 10 :
$\operatorname{var} \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}: 1 . .10$;


## Efficiency of Sets

- Set types are restricted to be ordinal to be efficient
var $S, T:$ set of $1 . .10$;
$-\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ take only 10 bits to represent: 1 bit for each number
- Bit $=0$ means number is not is set
- Bit $=1$ means number is in set
$-S:=[1,2,3,5,7]$;

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}=$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Set Operations

- Initialization/Assignment []
T := [1..6];
- Membership
in
if 4 in $T$ then
- Union, intersection, difference

$$
S * T, S+T,
$$

- Comparisons
- Subset, equality, non-equality
- <=, >=, =, <>
- Proper subset (<) is not provided

