

FORTRAN, Part 2

CS4100

February 16, 2011

Reminders

- Jeopardy tournament with Watson ends today
- Project proposals due Friday
 - Please upload to submission system

GOTO: A Two-Edged Sword

- Very powerful
 - Can be used for good or for evil
- But seriously is GOTO good or bad?
 - Good: very flexible, can implement elaborate control structures
 - Bad: hard to know what is intended
 - Violates the structure principle

But that's not all!

- We just saw the Computed GOTO:

```
GOTO (L1, L2, ..., Ln), I
```

- Jumps to label 1, 2, ...

- Now consider the Assigned GOTO:

```
GOTO N, (L1, L2, ..., Ln)
```

- Jumps to ADDRESS in N
- List of labels not necessary
- Must be used with ASSIGN-statement

```
ASSIGN 20 TO N
```

- Put address of statement 20 into N
- Not the same as N = 20 !!!!

Ex: Computed and Assigned GOTOs

```
ASSIGN 20 TO N
```

```
GOTO (20, 30, 40, 50), N
```

- N has address of stmt 20, say it is 347
- Look for 347 in jump table - out of range
- Not checked
- Fetch value at 347 and use as destination for jump
- Problem???
 - Computed should have been Assigned

Ex: Computed and Assigned GOTOs

I = 3

GOTO I, (20, 30, 40, 50)

- I expected to have an address
- GOTO statement with address 3
 - Probably in area used by system, i.e. not a stmt
- Problem???
 - Assigned should have been computed

Principles of Programming

- The Syntactic Consistency Principle
 - Things that look similar should be similar and things that look different should be different.

Syntactic Consistency

- Best to avoid syntactic forms that can be converted to other forms by a simple error
 - ** and *
 - Weak Typing (more on this later)
 - Integer variables
 - Integers
 - Addresses of statements
 - Character strings
 - Maybe a LABEL type?
 - Catch errors at compile time

Even worse...

- Confusing the two GOTOs will not be caught by the compiler
- Violates the defense in depth principle

Principles of Programming

- The Defense in Depth Principle
 - If an error gets through one line of defense, then it should be caught by the next line of defense.

The DO-loop

- Fortunately, FORTRAN provides the DO-loop
- Higher-level than IF-GOTO-style control structures
 - No direct machine-equivalency

```
DO 100 I = 1, N
  A(I) = A(I) * 2
100 CONTINUE
```

- I is called the *controlled variable*
- CONTINUE must have matching label
- DO allows stating what we *want*: higher level
 - Only built-in higher level structure

Nesting

- The DO-loop can be nested

```
DO 100 I = 1, N
```

```
...
```

```
DO 200 J = 1, N
```

```
...
```

```
200 CONTINUE
```

```
100 CONTINUE
```

- They must be correctly nested
- **Optimized:** controlled variable can be stored in index register
- Note: we could have done this with GOTO

Principles of Programming

- Preservation of Information Principle
 - The language should allow the representation of information that the user might know and that the compiler might need.
- Do-loop makes explicit
 - Control variable
 - Initial and final values
 - Extent of loop
- If and GOTO
 - Compiler has to figure out

Subprograms

- AKA subroutine
 - User defined
 - Function returns a value
 - Can be used in an expression
- Important, late addition
- Why are they important?
 - Subprograms define **procedural abstractions**
 - Repeated code can be abstracted out, variables formalized
 - Allow large programs to be modularized
 - Humans can only remember a few things at a time (about 7)

Subprograms

```
SUBROUTINE Name (formals)
```

```
...body...
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```
...
```

```
CALL Name (actuals)
```

- When invoked
 - Using call stmt
 - Formals **bound** to actuals
 - Formals aka dummy variables

Example

```
SUBROUTINE DIST (d, x, y)
D = X - Y
IF (D .LT. 0) D = -D
RETURN
END

...
CALL DIST (DIFFER, POSX, POSY)
...
```

Principles of Programming

- The Abstraction Principle
 - Avoid requiring something to be stated more than once; factor out the recurring pattern.

Libraries

- Subprograms encourage libraries
 - Subprograms are independent of each other
 - Can be compiled separately
 - Can be reused later
 - Maintain library of already debugged and compiled useful subprograms

Parameter Passing

- Once we decide on subprograms, we need to figure out how to pass parameters
- Fortran parameters
 - Input
 - Output
 - Need address to write to
 - Both

Parameter Passing

- Pass by reference
 - On chance may need to write to
 - all vars passed by reference
 - Pass the address of the variable, not its value
 - Advantage:
 - Faster for larger (aggregate) data constructs
 - Allows output parameters
 - Disadvantage:
 - Address has to be de-referenced
 - Not by programmer—still, an additional operation
 - Values can be modified by subprogram
 - Need to pass size for data constructs - if wrong?

A Dangerous Side-Effect

- What if parameter passed in is not a variable?

```
SUBROUTINE SWITCH (N)
```

```
N = 3
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```
...
```

```
CALL SWITCH (2)
```

- The literal 2 can be changed to the literal 3 in FORTRAN's literal table!!!
 - $I = 2 + 2$ $I = 6????$
 - Violates security principle

Principles of Programming

- Security principle
 - No program that violates the definition of the language, or its own intended structure, should escape detection.

Pass by Value-Result

- Also called *copy-restore*
- Instead of pass by reference, copy the value of actual parameters into formal parameters
- Upon return, copy new values back to actuals
- Both operations done by caller
 - Can know not to copy meaningless result
 - E.g. actual was a constant or expression
- Callee never has access to caller's variables

Subprograms

```
SUBROUTINE Name (formals)
```

```
...body...
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```
...
```

```
CALL Name (actuals)
```

- When invoked
 - Using call stmt
 - Formals **bound** to actuals
 - Formals aka dummy variables

Example

```
SUBROUTINE DIST (d, x, y)
D = X - Y
IF (D .LT. 0) D = -D
RETURN
END

...
CALL DIST (DIFFER, POSX, POSY)
...
```

Activation Records

- What happens when a subprogram is called?
 - Transmit parameters
 - Save caller's status
 - Enter the subprogram
 - Restore caller's state
 - Return to caller

What happens exactly?

- Before subprogram invocation:
 - Place parameters into callee's activation record
 - Save caller's status
 - Save content of registers
 - Save instruction pointer (IP)
 - Save pointer to caller's activation record in callee's activation record
 - Enter the subprogram

What happens exactly?

- Returning from subprogram:
 - Restore instruction pointer to caller's
 - Return to caller
 - Caller needs to restore its state (registers)
 - If subprogram is a function, return value must be made accessible

Contents of Activation Record

- Parameters passed to subprogram
- P (resumption address)
- Dynamic link (address of caller's activation record)
- Temporary areas for storing registers