Chapter 14: Protection





Chapter 14: Protection

- Goals of Protection
- Principles of Protection
- Domain of Protection
- Access Matrix
- Implementation of Access Matrix
- Access Control
- Revocation of Access Rights
- Capability-Based Systems
- Language-Based Protection





- Discuss the goals and principles of protection in a modern computer system
- Explain how protection domains combined with an access matrix are used to specify the resources a process may access
- Examine capability and language-based protection systems





Goals of Protection

- In one protection model, computer consists of a collection of objects, hardware or software
- Each object has a unique name and can be accessed through a well-defined set of operations
- Protection problem ensure that each object is accessed correctly and only by those processes that are allowed to do so





- Guiding principle principle of least privilege
 - Programs, users and systems should be given just enough privileges to perform their tasks
 - Limits damage if entity has a bug, gets abused
 - Can be static (during life of system, during life of process)
 - Or dynamic (changed by process as needed) domain switching, privilege escalation
 - "Need to know" a similar concept regarding access to data





Principles of Protection (Cont.)

- Must consider "grain" aspect
 - Rough-grained privilege management easier, simpler, but least privilege now done in large chunks
 - For example, traditional Unix processes either have abilities of the associated user, or of root
 - Fine-grained management more complex, more overhead, but more protective
 - File ACL lists, RBAC
- Domain can be user, process, procedure





- Access-right = <object-name, rights-set> where rights-set is a subset of all valid operations that can be performed on the object
- Domain = set of access-rights







Domain Implementation (UNIX)

- Domain = user-id
- Domain switch accomplished via file system
 - Each file has associated with it a domain bit (setuid bit)
 - When file is executed and setuid = on, then user-id is set to owner of the file being executed
 - When execution completes user-id is reset
- Domain switch accomplished via passwords
 - su command temporarily switches to another user's domain when other domain's password provided
- Domain switching via commands
 - sudo command prefix executes specified command in another domain (if original domain has privilege or password given)





Domain Implementation (MULTICS)

- Let D_i and D_j be any two domain rings
- If $j < I \Rightarrow D_i \subseteq D_j$







Multics Benefits and Limits

- Ring / hierarchical structure provided more than the basic kernel / user or root / normal user design
- Fairly complex -> more overhead
- But does not allow strict need-to-know
 - Object accessible in D_i but not in D_i, then *j* must be < *i*
 - But then every segment accessible in D_i also accessible in D_i





- View protection as a matrix (access matrix)
- Rows represent domains
- Columns represent objects
- Access(i, j) is the set of operations that a process executing in Domain_i can invoke on Object_i

object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	printer
<i>D</i> ₁	read		read	
D ₂				print
D ₃		read	execute	
<i>D</i> ₄	read write		read write	





Use of Access Matrix

- If a process in Domain D_i tries to do "op" on object O_j, then "op" must be in the access matrix
- User who creates object can define access column for that object
- Can be expanded to dynamic protection
 - Operations to add, delete access rights
 - Special access rights:
 - owner of O_i
 - copy op from O_i to O_j (denoted by "*")
 - control D_i can modify D_j access rights
 - transfer switch from domain D_i to D_i
 - Copy and Owner applicable to an object
 - Control applicable to domain object





Use of Access Matrix (Cont.)

- Access matrix design separates mechanism from policy
 - Mechanism
 - Operating system provides access-matrix + rules
 - If ensures that the matrix is only manipulated by authorized agents and that rules are strictly enforced
 - Policy
 - User dictates policy
 - Who can access what object and in what mode
- But doesn't solve the general confinement problem





object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	laser printer	<i>D</i> ₁	D ₂	<i>D</i> ₃	D ₄
<i>D</i> ₁	read		read			switch		
D ₂				print			switch	switch
<i>D</i> ₃		read	execute					
<i>D</i> ₄	read write		read write		switch			





Access Matrix with Copy Rights

object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F_3
D ₁	execute		write*
<i>D</i> ₂	execute	read*	execute
<i>D</i> ₃	execute		

(a)

object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃
D ₁	execute		write*
<i>D</i> ₂	execute	read*	execute
<i>D</i> ₃	execute	read	

(b)



Operating System Concepts – 9th Edition



Access Matrix With Owner Rights

object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃
<i>D</i> ₁	owner execute		write
D ₂		read* owner	read* owner write
D ₃	execute		

(a)

object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃
<i>D</i> ₁	owner execute		write
D ₂		owner read* write*	read* owner write
D ₃		write	write

(b)





Modified Access Matrix of Figure B

object domain	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	laser printer	<i>D</i> ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D_4
<i>D</i> ₁	read		read			switch		
<i>D</i> ₂				print			switch	switch control
<i>D</i> ₃		read	execute					
D_4	write		write		switch			





- Generally, a sparse matrix
- Option 1 Global table
 - Store ordered triples <domain, object, rights-set> in table
 - A requested operation M on object O_j within domain D_i -> search table for < D_i, O_j, R_k >
 - with $M \in R_k$
 - But table could be large -> won't fit in main memory
 - Difficult to group objects (consider an object that all domains can read)





- Option 2 Access lists for objects
 - Each column implemented as an access list for one object
 - Resulting per-object list consists of ordered pairs
 <domain, rights-set> defining all domains with non-empty set of access rights for the object
 - Easily extended to contain default set -> If M ∈ default set, also allow access





Each column = Access-control list for one object Defines who can perform what operation

> Domain 1 = Read, Write Domain 2 = Read Domain 3 = Read

 Each Row = Capability List (like a key) For each domain, what operations allowed on what objects
 Object F1 – Read
 Object F4 – Read, Write, Execute
 Object F5 – Read, Write, Delete, Copy





- Option 3 Capability list for domains
 - Instead of object-based, list is domain based
 - Capability list for domain is list of objects together with operations allows on them
 - Object represented by its name or address, called a capability
 - Execute operation M on object O_j, process requests operation and specifies capability as parameter
 - Possession of capability means access is allowed
 - Capability list associated with domain but never directly accessible by domain
 - Rather, protected object, maintained by OS and accessed indirectly
 - Like a "secure pointer"
 - Idea can be extended up to applications



Implementation of Access Matrix (Cont.)

- Option 4 Lock-key
 - Compromise between access lists and capability lists
 - Each object has list of unique bit patterns, called locks
 - Each domain as list of unique bit patterns called keys
 - Process in a domain can only access object if domain has key that matches one of the locks





- Many trade-offs to consider
 - Global table is simple, but can be large
 - Access lists correspond to needs of users
 - Determining set of access rights for domain nonlocalized so difficult
 - Every access to an object must be checked
 - Many objects and access rights -> slow
 - Capability lists useful for localizing information for a given process
 - But revocation capabilities can be inefficient
 - Lock-key effective and flexible, keys can be passed freely from domain to domain, easy revocation





- Most systems use combination of access lists and capabilities
 - First access to an object -> access list searched
 - If allowed, capability created and attached to process
 - Additional accesses need not be checked
 - After last access, capability destroyed
 - Consider file system with ACLs per file





Access Control

- Protection can be applied to non-file resources
- Oracle Solaris 10 provides rolebased access control (RBAC) to implement least privilege
 - **Privilege** is right to execute system call or use an option within a system call
 - Can be assigned to processes
 - Users assigned *roles* granting access to privileges and programs
 - Enable role via password to gain its privileges
 - Similar to access matrix







Revocation of Access Rights

- Various options to remove the access right of a domain to an object
 - Immediate vs. delayed
 - Selective vs. general
 - Partial vs. total
 - Temporary vs. permanent
- Access List Delete access rights from access list
 - Simple search access list and remove entry
 - Immediate, general or selective, total or partial, permanent or temporary





- Capability List Scheme required to locate capability in the system before capability can be revoked
 - Reacquisition periodic delete, with require and denial if revoked
 - Back-pointers set of pointers from each object to all capabilities of that object (Multics)
 - Indirection capability points to global table entry which points to object – delete entry from global table, not selective (CAL)
 - Keys unique bits associated with capability, generated when capability created
 - Master key associated with object, key matches master key for access
 - Revocation create new master key
 - Policy decision of who can create and modify keys object owner or others?





Capability-Based Systems

- Hydra
 - Fixed set of access rights known to and interpreted by the system
 - i.e. read, write, or execute each memory segment
 - User can declare other auxiliary rights and register those with protection system
 - Accessing process must hold capability and know name of operation
 - Rights amplification allowed by trustworthy procedures for a specific type
 - Interpretation of user-defined rights performed solely by user's program; system provides access protection for use of these rights
 - Operations on objects defined procedurally procedures are objects accessed indirectly by capabilities
 - Solves the problem of mutually suspicious subsystems
 - Includes library of prewritten security routines





- Cambridge CAP System
 - Simpler but powerful
 - Data capability provides standard read, write, execute of individual storage segments associated with object – implemented in microcode
 - **Software capability** -interpretation left to the subsystem, through its protected procedures
 - Only has access to its own subsystem
 - Programmers must learn principles and techniques of protection





- Specification of protection in a programming language allows the high-level description of policies for the allocation and use of resources
- Language implementation can provide software for protection enforcement when automatic hardwaresupported checking is unavailable
- Interpret protection specifications to generate calls on whatever protection system is provided by the hardware and the operating system





- Protection is handled by the Java Virtual Machine (JVM)
- A class is assigned a protection domain when it is loaded by the JVM
- The protection domain indicates what operations the class can (and cannot) perform
- If a library method is invoked that performs a privileged operation, the stack is inspected to ensure the operation can be performed by the library
- Generally, Java's load-time and run-time checks enforce type safety
- Classes effectively encapsulate and protect data and methods from other classes





Stack Inspection

protection domain:	untrusted applet	URL loader	networking
socket permission:	none	*.lucent.com:80, connect	any
class:	gui: get(url); open(addr);	get(URL u): doPrivileged { open('proxy.lucent.com:80'); } <request from="" proxy="" u=""></request>	open(Addr a): checkPermission (a, connect); connect (a);



End of Chapter 14

